

**Coventry City Council**  
**Minutes of the Meeting of Cabinet Member for City Services held at 3.00 pm on**  
**Monday, 7 August 2017**

Present:

Members: Councillor J Innes (Cabinet Member)  
Councillor R Lakha (Deputy Cabinet Member)  
Councillor T Sawdon (Shadow Cabinet Member)

Other Members: Councillor A Andrews  
Councillor R Bailey  
Councillor G Williams

Employees (by Directorate):

Place C Archer, S Evans, R Parkes, M Salmon, K Seager

Apologies: There were no apologies

## **Public Business**

### **10. Declarations of Interests**

There were no disclosable pecuniary interests declared.

### **11. Minutes**

The minutes of the meeting held on 26<sup>th</sup> June 2017 were agreed and signed as a true record.

There were no matters arising.

### **12. Objections to Burnsall Road, Sir Henry Parkes Road, Canley Road Area Residents' Parking Scheme**

The Cabinet Member considered a report of the Deputy Chief Executive (Place) concerning objections that had been received to an Experimental Traffic Regulation Order in Burnsall Road, Sir Henry Parkes Road, and Canley Road area.

Following a petition received by the City Council and concerns raised by local residents about commuters and employees from nearby factories leaving their cars parked all day in and around the area, the City Council undertook a resident's parking scheme consultation in 2016. The residents of Burnsall Road, Sir Henry Parkes Road, and Canley Road area were given a number of options resulting in the majority of residents opting for the 24 hours a day, 7 days a week option.

On 8th June 2017 a Traffic Regulation Order proposing a new residents' parking scheme for the Burnsall Road, Sir Henry Parkes Road, Canley Road Area was advertised. 12 objections were received, all objecting to the proposed times of

operation of the scheme (24 hours a day, 7 days a week). A summary of the proposed objections were set out in an Appendix to the report. All the respondents were invited to the meeting. Councillor Andrews, an Earlsdon Ward Councillor attended the meeting in respect of the proposed waiting restrictions in his Ward.

Councillor Andrews and local residents Alex Robinson and Tracey Windley attended the meeting and spoke on the parking issues in the area created by commuters, employees from nearby factories and travellers holidaying from Canley Train Station and Birmingham Airport, and their support for the Parking Scheme. They outlined their concerns relating to dangerous and inconsiderate parking, congestion and loss of parking for local residents.

Having considered the objections received to the traffic order and the representations made by those that attended the meeting, agreed that a 24 hours, six days a week (Monday to Saturday), residents parking scheme be implemented as an Experimental Traffic Regulation Order for a period of 9 Months.

The cost of introducing any scheme, if approved, would be funded from the Integrated Transport Budget.

**RESOLVED that the Cabinet Member:**

- 1) Approves that the revised proposal of a 24 hours a day, Monday to Saturday resident's parking scheme is implemented in Burnhall Road, Sir Henry Parkes Road, and Canley Road area, as an Experimental Traffic Regulation Order for the duration of 9 months.**
- 2) Agrees that if any objections are received during the first 6 months of the Experimental Traffic Regulation Order's operation, these are reported to the Cabinet Member for consideration and decision on how to proceed.**
- 3) Subject to consideration of any objections to the Experimental Traffic Regulation Order, if the order is made permanent, agrees that residents should apply for a new permit within a month of making the Experimental Traffic Regulation Order permanent, where the normal permit charges will apply.**

**13. Petitions Determined by Letter and Petitions Deferred Pending Further Investigation**

The Cabinet Member considered a report of the Deputy Chief Executive (Place) that provided a summary of the recent petitions received that were to be determined by letter, or where decisions had been deferred pending further investigations and holding letters were being circulated. Details of the individual petitions were set out in an appendix attached to the report and included target dates for action. The report was submitted for monitoring and transparency purposes.

The report indicated that each petition had been dealt with on an individual basis, with the Cabinet Member considering advice from officers on appropriate action to respond to the petitioners' request. When it had been decided to respond to the

petition without formal consideration at a Cabinet Member meeting, both the relevant Councillor/petition organiser could still request that their petition be the subject of a Cabinet Member report.

Members were informed that where holding letters were being sent, this was because further investigation work was required. Once matters had been investigated either a follow up letter would be sent or a report submitted to a future Cabinet Member meeting.

**RESOLVED that the actions being taken by officers as detailed in the appendix to the report, in response to the petitions received, be endorsed.**

14. **Outstanding Issues**

The Cabinet Member noted a report of the Deputy Chief Executive (Place) that contained a list of outstanding issues and summarised the current position in respect of each item.

15. **Any other items of Public Business**

There were no other items of public business.

(Meeting closed at 3.35 pm)